## STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

**[0002]** Applicant would like to thank Examiner Al-Aubadi for conducting a telephone interview with Applicant's representative, Jason D. Mehigan, on 2/18/2010.

**[0003]** During the interview, the Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed distinctions between the claims and the cited documents, namely the Cope reference.

**[0004]** Based on the conversation with the Examiner, Applicant's representative understood the Examiner to tentatively agree that claims 1, 27, 41, and 91, as presented herein, recites one or more features that are not found in the cited documents of record.

**[0005]** The Office agreed the Cope reference did not disclose an "identifier" that was displayed on a user interface that included "a location" for the conference participant that was represented by the "identifier" as recited in claim 1, 27, 41, and 91.

**[0006]** The Office also agreed the frame type classifications in claim 91 were more than a design choice and could rise to the level of patentability.

**[0007]** The Office also confirmed the allowable subject matter included claims 47-52, 54-62, 64-83, 85, and 87.